



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,377	01/12/2001	Robert E. Dvorak	BLFR 1003-1	1255	
22470 7:	590 04/27/2005		EXAMINER		
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			VAN DOREN, BETH		
P O BOX 366 HALF MOON BAY, CA 94019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MALI MOON	BAT, CA 94019		3623		

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W			
Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/760,3	377	DVORAK ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Beth Va		3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE, MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>14 March 2005</i>	<u>5</u> .					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-95 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	:(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) 🔀 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F · No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050316</u> .	O-948) PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/760,377

Art Unit: 3623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a non-final office action in response to communications received 03/14/2005. Claim 95 has been amended. Claims 1-95 are pending.

#### Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendments to the drawings are sufficient to over come the drawing objections of the previous office action.
- 3. Applicant's amendment to claim 95 is sufficient to overcome the claim objections of the previous office action.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-95 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Landvater (U.S. 6,609,101).
- 7. As per claim 1, Landvater teaches an improved management decision support system, including a computer system having memory and resources, a retail demand forecasting program applying one or more forecasting approaches, running on the computer system and generating

Page 2

Art Unit: 3623

output, and a set of analysis programs, running on the computer system and utilizing the output, said analysis programs generating at least one of (a) order of goods from a supplier-related data (b) allocation of the goods to be shipped by the supplier-related data, or (c) distribution of goods to selling locations-related data (See figures 1, 2, 5, 9, and column 8, lines 9-40), the improvement comprising:

a causal calendar utilized by the forecasting program to generate the output, said causal calendar including for a plurality of events attributes of a good identifier, a selling location identifier, the event start date, the event stop date, and the event type (See figures 14, 15, 18, and 22, column 6, lines 45-60, column 8, lines 7-21 and 25-40, column 14, lines 25-67, column 15, lines 10-25, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, and column 21, lines 15-25, column 23, lines 45-65, wherein a calendar is used with the forecasting program to determine stock and replenishment for each of the retail locations); and

one or more additional analysis programs in the set of analysis programs generating data reported in at least two of: open to buy reports, markdown management reports, bottom-up planning reports; or top-up planning reports (See figures 10 and 16-17 and column 11, line 53-column 12, line 40, which discloses top-up planning by considering causal factors such as holidays. See figure 2 and column 20, lines 30-50, which discusses OTB management by considering the financials and inventory budget. See figures 5 and 9, column 8, lines 11-35, column 10, lines 20-30, column 11, lines 5-25, column 13, lines 30-40, column 19, lines 29-45, column 21, lines 15-35, which discuss bottom-up planning by providing sales, inventory, and receipt information on a daily or weekly basis. See column 12, lines 25-30, and column 17, lines

Art Unit: 3623

5-55, which discusses markdown management by taking promotions and sales into consideration sales when producing forecasts).

- 8. As per claim 2, Landvater teaches wherein a pair of the good identifier and event identifier attributes associate a single good at a single selling location with one of the plurality of events (See figures 10, 16-17, and 19-21, column 11, line 53-column 12, line 40, and column 17, lines 5-55, which discusses a good and an event, such as promotions, holidays, displays, etc.).
- 9. As per claim 3, Landvater wherein a pair of the good identifier and event identifier attributes associate a single good at a group of selling locations with one of the plurality of events (See column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, which discuss individual goods at multiple selling locations, and overriding occurs. Specifically, when an event works better at one location than another, inventory is balanced).
- 10. As per claim 4, Landvater teaches wherein a pair of the good identifier and event identifier attributes associate a group of goods at a single selling location with one of the plurality of events (See column 5, lines 1-5, column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 15, lines 25-45 and 55-65, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, wherein goods are grouped and projected across the retailers while also considering events, such as promotions, displays, etc.).
- 11. As per claim 5, Landvater discloses wherein a pair of the good identifier and event identifier attributes associate a group of goods at a group of selling locations with one of the plurality of events (See column 5, lines 1-5, column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 15, lines 25-45 and 55-65, column 23, lines 45-65, which discusses group products and events such as displays).

Art Unit: 3623

- 12. As per claim 6, Landvater teaches wherein the attributes of the causal calendar further includes a factor corresponding to the impact of the event on sales (See column 12, lines 25-30, and column 17, lines 5-55, which discusses taking promotions and sales into consideration sales when producing forecasts).
- 13. As per claims 7-9, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs is adapted to basic retail goods, to seasonal retail goods, and to fashion retail goods (See column 10, lines 30-45, column 12, lines 9-40, column 15, lines 25-50, and column 19, lines 5-20, wherein the program considers basic goods, retail goods, and seasonal goods of retailers).
- 14. As per claim 10, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on daily or more frequent period forecasts (See figure 8, column 10, lines 20-50, column 13, lines 30-36 and 49-58, which discusses daily forecasts).
- 15. As per claim 11, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on weekly forecasts (See figure 8, column 10, lines 20-50, column 11, lines 1-25, and column 21, lines 15-35, which discuss weekly forecasts).
- 16. As per claim 12, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on pairings of individual goods in individual selling locations (See column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, column 23, lines 45-65, which discuss goods at individual locations).
- 17. As per claim 13, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on groups of goods in individual selling locations (See column 5, lines 1-5, column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 15, lines 25-45 and 55-65, column 23, lines 45-65, which discuss groups of goods).

Application/Control Number: 09/760,377

Art Unit: 3623

Page 6

- 18. As per claim 14, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on individual goods in groups of selling locations (See column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, which discuss individual goods at multiple selling locations, and overriding occurs).
- 19. As per claim 15, Landvater teaches wherein the set of analysis programs operate on groups of goods in groups of selling locations (See column 5, lines 1-5, column 8, lines 5-25, column 11, lines 20-32, column 15, lines 25-45 and 55-65, column 17, lines 35-57, column 19, lines 5-17, wherein goods are grouped and projected across the retailers).
- 20. As per claim 16, Landvater teaches wherein the reports are displayed on a monitor in communication with the computer system (See figures 4 and 22, column 7, lines 35-50, column 21, lines 15-35, which discusses a monitor in connection with the system).
- 21. As per claim 17, Landvater teaches wherein the reports are saved in spreadsheet file format (See column 21, lines 15-40, which teaches spreadsheets).
- 22. As per claim 18, Landvater discloses wherein the reports are printed on paper, microfiche, or optical media (See column 7, lines 35-50, wherein the reports are placed on optical media).
- 23. As per claim 19, Landvater teaches wherein the reports are distributed by e-mail or other messaging facility (See figure 3, column 7, line 50-column 85 and 25-45, column 21, lines 15-34 and 41-50, column 22, lines 30-55, wherein the forecasting and other reports are transmitted in a client server environment).
- 24. Claims 20-38 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1-19, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

- 25. Claims 39-57 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1-19, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.
- 26. Claims 58-76 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1-19, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.
- 27. Claims 77-95 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1-19, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale set forth above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fox et al. (U.S. 6,584,447) discloses forecasting future retail behavior using past causal data.

Fox et al. (U.S. 5,832,456) teaches a system that stores sales history data of a plurality of products at a plurality of locations and an analyzer that determines what past retail performance data was affected in order to forecast future performance.

Albright et al. (U.S. 2002/0099678) discloses a modeling engine that determines the optimal promotions plans by forecasting and communicates the results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Van Doren whose telephone number is (571) 272-6737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,377

Art Unit: 3623

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information at least the PAIR.

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bvd

April 25, 2005

TARIO R. HAFIZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600